

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA817861**

Filing date: **05/01/2017**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92065211
Party	Defendant LG Electronics Inc.
Correspondence Address	ROBERT J KENNEY BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 UNITED STATES mailroom@bskb.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Robert J. Kenney
Filer's e-mail	rjk@bskb.com, michael.t.smith@bskb.com, john.coutavas@bskb.com, mail-room@bskb.com
Signature	/Robert J. Kenney/
Date	05/01/2017
Attachments	2017-05-01 Answer to Petition for Cancellation.pdf(10783 bytes )

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

ANHUI HUAMI INFORMATION,	)	Cancellation No.: 92065211
TECHNOLOGY CO, LTD.,	)	
	)	Mark: SMART Q
Petitioner,	)	
	)	Reg. No.: 4,434,584
v.	)	
	)	
LG ELECTRONICS, INC.	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

**ANSWER**

LG Electronics, Inc., (“Respondent”), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby responds to Anhui Huami Information Technology Co., Ltd.’s (“Petitioner”) Petition for Cancellation as follows:

1. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 1 of the Petition for Cancellation.
2. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 2 of the Petition for Cancellation.
3. Respondent admits the allegations in paragraph 3 of the Petition for Cancellation.
4. Respondent admits the allegations in paragraph 4 of the Petition for Cancellation.
5. Respondent admits the allegations in paragraph 5 of the Petition for Cancellation.
6. Respondent denies the allegations in paragraph 6 of the Petition for Cancellation.
7. Respondent denies the allegations in paragraph 7 of the Petition for Cancellation.
8. Respondent denies the allegations in paragraph 8 of the Petition for Cancellation.
9. Respondent repeats and incorporates herein its answers to paragraphs 1-5 of the Petition for Cancellation.

10. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 10 of the Petition for Cancellation.

11. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 11 of the Petition for Cancellation.

12. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 12 of the Petition for Cancellation.

13. Respondent denies the allegations in paragraph 13 of the Petition for Cancellation.

14. Respondent denies the allegations in paragraph 14 of the Petition for Cancellation.

WHEREFORE, Respondent, having fully and completely answered the Petition for Cancellation, hereby prays that the Petition for Cancellation be denied.

Dated: May 1, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By /Robert J. Kenney/  
Robert J. Kenney  
Michael T. Smith  
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP  
P.O. Box 747  
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747  
(703) 205-8000  
rjk@bskb.com; msmith@bskb.com;  
mailroom@bskb.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 1st day of May, 2017, a copy of the foregoing ANSWER was served upon counsel of record for Petitioner by email as follows:

Thomas P. Arden, Esq.  
YOUNG BASILE HANLON & MACFARLANE  
arden@youngbasile.com

/Michael T. Smith/  
Michael T. Smith